

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 6263 KBRY.01P Kern E. Bryan 01/16/2001 09/764,649 **EXAMINER** 7590 03/11/2004 23732 BALSIS, SHAY L KENEHAN & LAMBERTSEN, LTD 1771 E. FLAMINGO ROAD PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT SUITE 211-B** 1744 LAS VEGAS, NV 89119-5154

DATE MAILED: 03/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		M
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/764,649	BRYAN, KERN E.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Shay L Balsis	1744
The MAILING DATE of this communication	,	et with the correspondence address
Period for Reply		· ·
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Consider SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory of the failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mon. a reply within the statutory minimum operiod will apply and will expire SIX (6)	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	28 December 2003.	·
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for al	lowance except for formal	matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	U	
4) Claim(s) <u>1,3-7 and 9-11</u> is/are pending in		
	hdrawn from consideration	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1,3-7 and 9-11</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requiremen	t.
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or disonorrioqui emem	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	√√ abjected to by the
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 15 January 2001	and 28 December 2003 is/	are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the
Examiner.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	25 27 CEP 1 85/3)
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be neid in al	objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the att	school Office Action or form PTO-152
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	me Examiner, Note the aud	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been received uments have been received e priority documents have Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	, — Den	rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO	5) Not	ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🗌 Oth	er:

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on 12/29/03. These drawings are approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6, 7 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hsu (USPN 6016586).

Hsu teaches an applicator for a surface treatment device comprising a rotatable mounting bracket (48) having a plurality of fixed angular positions and a locking mechanism for selecting one of the angular positions (figure 5). There is a handle (3), with a handle extension (4), attached to the rotatable mounting bracket as well as a surface treatment tool (1). The surface treatment tool is attached to the rotatable mounting bracket by a threaded extension (21) formed on the mounting bracket. The rotatable mounting bracket comprises an outer casing (31) wherein an angular locking plate (22) is received within the casing. There is a plurality of apertures (222) formed at the angularly displaced locations. A positioning pin (331) is received within the casing and is biased against the locking plate to define the angular positions of the rotatable mounting bracket. A connector bolt (33) is pivotally received in the outer casement and projects outward, allowing for attachment of the annular locking plate. The connecting bolt also

Art Unit: 1744

acts as the actuator that is interconnected with the positioning pin, which disengages the pin and allows the plate to be repositioned to another pre-set position relative to the outer casement.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1,3, 5-7, 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Ampian (USPN 5207755).

Ampian teaches an applicator for a surface treatment device, such as a paint roller, comprising a rotatable mounting bracket having a plurality of fixed angular positions and a locking mechanism for selecting one of the angular positions (figure 4). There is a handle (12), with a handle extension (13), attached to the rotatable mounting bracket as well as a surface treatment tool (22). The rotatable mounting bracket comprises an outer casing (82) wherein an angular locking plate (80) is received within the casing. There is a plurality of apertures (86) formed at the angularly displaced locations. A positioning pin (102) is received within the casing and is biased against the locking plate to define the angular positions of the rotatable mounting bracket. There is a pivotal actuator (84) interconnected to the positioning pin wherein the actuator is located outside of the casing to allow access to an operator. A connector bolt (92) is pivotally received in the outer casement and projects outward, allowing for attachment of the annular locking plate.

Art Unit: 1744.

Ampian teaches all the essential elements of the claimed invention however fails to teach the connector bolt is fixedly attached to the angular locking plate. Ampian teaches a pivot pin (92) however, it is unclear whether or not the pivot pin is fixed to the angular locking plate, if it is fixed to the mounting bracket, or if it is fixed to both or neither. Ampian makes it clear however, that any conventional manner of pivotally connecting the angular locking plate to the mounting bracket would suffice. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to either fixedly attach one or both ends or even none to accommodate the appropriate usage.

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ampian (USPN 5207755) in view of Hsu (USPN 6016586).

Ampian teaches all the essential elements of the claimed invention however the reference fails to teach a that the surface treatment tool is attached to the mounting bracket by means of a threaded extension. Hsu teaches using a threaded extension as a means for attaching a surface treating tool. Ampian and Hsu are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor of tools with adjustable means. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to attach Ampian's surface treatment tool to the rotatable bracket by means of a threaded member. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow the handle to be used with many other surface treating tools. In addition, it would allow for ease of cleaning each individual surface treating tool, without having to clean the entire apparatus.

Applicant's Arguments

- 7. a. All the prior art fails to teach a connector bolt and an angular locking plate that is fixedly attached to the projecting portion of the connecting bolt.
- b. Ampian teaches a narrow slot formed between the arcuate bearing surface 94 and the matching circular outer surface, which invites rotational immobility. The arcuate bearing surface is unneeded.

Response to Arguments

8. a. Applicant's arguments, see page 6-9, filed 12/29/04, with respect to Calvert, Yamada, Seufert and Cerveny have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-3, 5-11 for the Calvert reference, claims 1-3, 7-11 for the Yamada reference, 1-2, 5, 7-11 for the Seufert reference and claims 1 and 7 for the Cerveny reference have been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments filed 12/29/04, with regards to the Ampian and Hsu references have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Ampian and Hsu still teach all the essential elements of the claimed invention as well as the new limitations that were added to the independent claims regarding a connector bolt.

b. While Ampian teaches an arcuate bearing surface which is not claimed, the reference additionally teaches all the limitations the claimed invention. Applicant states that it would not have been obvious to remove the arcuate bearing surface, however, it was never suggested either by the reference or by the examiner that the arcuate bearing surface be removed. The arcuate bearing surface can remain on the prior art and still meet the limitations of the claimed invention. While the arcuate bearing surface may be an unnecessary element in the Applicant's eyes, it does not need to be removed in order to reject the claims.

Art Unit: 1744

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shay L Balsis whose telephone number is 571-272-1268. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00 M-Th, alternating F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Warden can be reached on 571-272-1281. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Slb 2/25/04

ROBERT J. WARDEN, SR.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Robert 7. Warden, In